

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ZUFFA, LLC d/b/a Ultimate Fighting
Championship,

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT

-against-

Civil Action No.

SHAWN CASEY and BRIDGET V. KILLEN,
Individually, and as officers, directors, shareholders,
principals, managers and/or members of THE
SALOON LLC, d/b/a SALOON,

and

THE SALOON LLC, d/b/a SALOON,

Defendants.

Plaintiff, ZUFFA, LLC d/b/a Ultimate Fighting Championship (hereinafter
“Plaintiff”), by their attorneys, LONSTEIN LAW OFFICE, P.C., complaining of the
Defendants herein respectfully sets forth and alleges, as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This is a civil action seeking damages for violation of 47 U.S.C. §§ 553 or 605, et seq. and for copyright infringement under the copyright laws of the U.S. (17 U.S.C. §101, et seq.).
2. This Court has jurisdiction under 17 U.S.C. §101, et seq. and 28 U.S.C. Section §1331, which states that the district courts shall have original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States; and 28

U.S.C. Section §1338(a) (copyright).

3. Upon information and belief, venue is proper in this court because, inter alia, a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred within Rockland County, which is within the Southern District of New York (28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 112(b)).

4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the parties in this action. Defendants to this action had or have an agent or agents who has or have independently transacted business in the State of New York and certain activities of Defendants giving rise to this action took place in the State of New York; more particularly, Defendants' acts of violating federal laws and the proprietary rights of Plaintiff, as distributor of the satellite programming transmission signals took place within the State of New York. Moreover, upon information and belief, Defendants have their principal place of business within the State of New York; thus, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants.

THE PARTIES

5. The plaintiff is a Nevada Limited Liability Company with its principal place of business located at 2960 West Sahara Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada 89102.

6. Plaintiff is the owner of the UFC 196 Broadcast, including all undercard matches and the entire television Broadcast, scheduled for March 5, 2016, via closed circuit television and via encrypted satellite signal (hereinafter referred to as the "Broadcast").

7. Upon information and belief the Defendant, SHAWN CASEY, resides at 118

Bradley Avenue, White Plains, NY 10607.

8. Upon information and belief the Defendant, BRIDGET V. KILLEN, resides at 24 Schriever Lane, New City, NY 10956.

9. Upon information and belief the Defendants, SHAWN CASEY and BRIDGET V. KILLEN, are officers, directors, shareholders, principals, managers and/or members of THE SALOON LLC, d/b/a SALOON located at 49 W. Central Avenue, Pearl River, NY 10965.

10. Upon information and belief the Defendant, THE SALOON LLC, is a limited liability company licensed to do business in the State of New York.

11. Upon information and belief the Defendants, SHAWN CASEY and BRIDGET V. KILLEN, were the individuals with supervisory capacity and control over the activities occurring within the establishment known as SALOON, located at 49 W. Central Avenue, Pearl River, NY 10965 on March 5, 2016.

12. Upon information and belief the Defendants, SHAWN CASEY, BRIDGET V. KILLEN, and THE SALOON LLC, received a financial benefit from the operations of THE SALOON LLC, d/b/a SALOON, on March 5, 2016.

13. Upon information and belief, Defendants, SHAWN CASEY and BRIDGET V. KILLEN, were the individuals with close control over the internal operating procedures and employment practices of THE SALOON LLC, d/b/a SALOON, on March 5, 2016.

14. Upon information and belief, Defendant, THE SALOON LLC, d/b/a

SALOON, located at 49 W. Central Avenue, Pearl River, NY 10965, had a capacity for 201-300 people on March 5, 2016.

15. Upon information and belief, Defendant, THE SALOON LLC, d/b/a SALOON, is a business entity, having its principal place of business at 49 W. Central Avenue, Pearl River, NY 10965.

16. Upon information and belief, Defendants, SHAWN CASEY and BRIDGET V. KILLEN, advertised for the exhibition of Plaintiff's Broadcast within the commercial establishment known as SALOON.

17. Upon information and belief, Defendant, THE SALOON LLC, advertised for the exhibition of Plaintiff's Broadcast within the commercial establishment known as SALOON.

COUNT I

18. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in paragraphs "1" through "17," inclusive, as though set forth herein at length.

19. Plaintiff is the owner of the UFC 196 Broadcast, including all undercard matches and the entire television Broadcast, scheduled for March 5, 2016, via closed circuit television and via encrypted satellite signal (hereinafter referred to as the "Broadcast").

20. Plaintiff's Broadcast originated via satellite uplink and was subsequently re-transmitted to cable systems and satellite companies via satellite signal.

21. Plaintiff, or their authorized agent for commercial distribution, for a

licensing fee, entered into licensing agreements with various entities in the State of New York, allowing them to publicly exhibit the Broadcast to their patrons. Upon payment of the appropriate fees, Plaintiff authorizes and enables subscribers to unscramble and receive the satellite Broadcast.

22. The Broadcast was also available for non-commercial, private viewing through Plaintiff or its authorized online platforms for residential Pay-Per-View purchase via the internet. Owners of commercial establishments wishing to use Plaintiff's Broadcasts for their own commercial gain can surreptitiously gain access to Plaintiff's Broadcasts by purchasing the programming online, without proper authorization, at residential rates, which are greatly discounted compared to the rates required for commercial entities.

23. In order to view the Broadcast through a website intended for private, non-commercial viewing, an individual purchaser was subject to the copyright language contained therein which expressly stated that the "unauthorized reproduction or distribution of the copyrighted work is illegal."

24. Upon information and belief, with full knowledge that the Broadcast was not to be received and exhibited by entities unauthorized to do so, the Defendants and/or their agents, servants, workmen or employees, without paying Plaintiff a fee or entering into an agreement with Plaintiff or its authorized agent for commercial exhibition, unlawfully intercepted, received and/or de-scrambled Plaintiff's satellite signal and did exhibit the Broadcast at the above address at the time of its transmission willfully and for purposes of

direct or indirect commercial advantage or private financial gain.

25. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff alleges that Defendants effected unauthorized interception and receipt of Plaintiff's Broadcast by ordering programming for residential use and subsequently displaying the programming in the commercial establishment known as SALOON for commercial gain without authorization, or by such other means which are unknown to Plaintiffs and known only to Defendants.

26. Upon information and belief, Defendants and/or their agents, servants, workmen and/or employees used an illegal satellite receiver, intercepted Plaintiff's signal and/or used a device to intercept Plaintiff's Broadcast, which originated via satellite uplink and then re-transmitted via satellite or microwave signal to various cable and satellite systems. There are multiple illegal methods of accessing the Broadcast, including but not limited to (1) splicing an additional coaxial cable line or redirecting a wireless signal from an adjacent residence into a business establishment; (2) commercially misusing cable or satellite by registering same as a residence when it is, in fact, a business; or (3) taking a lawfully obtained box or satellite receiver from a private residence, into a business. In addition, emerging technologies, such as broadband or internet Broadcast, as well as "slingbox" technology (which allows a consumer to literally sling the Broadcast from his personal home cable or satellite systems into his computer), can allow commercial misuse of residential broadcasting feeds through the internet from anywhere in the world. Each of these methods would allow Defendants to access the Broadcast unlawfully. Prior to Discovery, Plaintiff is unable to determine the manner in

which Defendants obtained the Broadcast. However, it is logical to conclude that Defendants utilized one of the above described methods or another to intercept and exhibit the Broadcast without entering into an agreement to obtain it lawfully from Plaintiff, the legal rights holder for commercial exhibition.

27. 47 U.S.C. §605 (a) prohibits the unauthorized reception and publication or use of communications such as the transmission for which plaintiff had the distribution rights thereto.

28. By reason of the aforementioned conduct, the aforementioned Defendants willfully violated 47 U.S.C. §605 (a).

29. By reason of the aforementioned Defendants' violation of 47 U.S.C. §605 (a), Plaintiff has a private right of action pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §605.

30. As a result of the aforementioned Defendants' willful violation of 47 U.S.C. §605 (a), Plaintiff is entitled to damages, in the discretion of this Court, under 47 U.S.C. §605 (e)(3)(C)(i)(II) and (ii) of up to the maximum amount of \$110,000.00 as to each Defendant.

31. Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §605, Plaintiff is also entitled to an award of full costs, interest and reasonable attorney's fees.

COUNT II

32. Plaintiff hereby incorporates paragraphs "1" through "17" and "19" through "26," inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.

33. Upon information and belief, with full knowledge that the Broadcast was not

to be received and exhibited by entities unauthorized to do so, the Defendants and/or their agents, servants, workmen or employees did exhibit the Broadcast at the above-captioned address at the time of its transmission willfully and for purposes of direct or indirect commercial advantage or private financial gain.

34. 47 U.S.C. §553 prohibits the unauthorized reception, interception and exhibition of any communications service offered over a cable system such as the transmission for which Plaintiff had the distribution rights thereto.

35. Upon information and belief, the Defendants individually, willfully and illegally intercepted said Broadcast when it was distributed and shown by cable television systems.

36. By reason of the aforementioned conduct, all of the aforementioned Defendants willfully violated 47 U.S.C. §553, thereby giving rise to a private right of action.

37. As a result of the aforementioned Defendants' violation of 47 U.S.C. §553, Plaintiff is entitled to damages, in an amount in the discretion of this Court, of up to the maximum amount of \$60,000.00, plus the recovery of full costs, interest and reasonable attorney's fees.

COUNT III

38. Plaintiff hereby incorporates paragraphs "1" through "17" and "19" through "26," and "33," inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.

39. Plaintiff is the owner of the copyright to the UFC 196 Broadcast, including

all undercard matches and the entire television Broadcast, scheduled for March 5, 2016, via closed circuit television, encrypted satellite signal and online purchase. See Certificate of Registration Numbers PA 2-024-105 and PA 2-024-107. The Broadcast originated via satellite uplink and was subsequently re-transmitted to cable systems and satellite companies via satellite signal.

40. As a copyright holder of the rights to the UFC 196 Broadcast, Plaintiff has rights to the Broadcast, including the right of distribution as well as the licensing to commercial establishments for the right to exhibit same.

41. Defendants never obtained the proper authority or license from Plaintiff, or its authorized agent for commercial distribution, to publicly exhibit the UFC 196 Broadcast on March 5, 2016.

42. Upon information and belief, with full knowledge that the UFC 196 Broadcast can only be exhibited within a commercial establishment by the purchasing of a license from Plaintiff, or its authorized agent for commercial distribution, Defendants and/or their agents, servants, workmen or employees illegally intercepted the Broadcast and exhibited same in their commercial establishment on March 5, 2016.

43. Specifically, upon information and belief, the Defendants and/or their agents, servants, workmen and employees unlawfully obtained the UFC 196 Broadcast, enabling Defendants to publicly exhibit the Broadcast without paying the appropriate licensing fee to Plaintiff, or its authorized agent for commercial distribution.

44. By reason of the aforementioned conduct, the Defendant willfully violated

17 U.S.C. §501(a).

45. By reason of the aforementioned Defendants' violation of 17 U.S.C. §501(a), Plaintiff has a private right of action pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §501 (b).

46. As a result of Defendants' willful infringement of Plaintiff's copyrights and exclusive rights under copyright, Plaintiff is entitled to damages, in the discretion of this Court, under 17 U.S.C. §504(c)(1) and 504(c)(2), of up to the maximum amount of \$150,000.00.

47. Plaintiff is further entitled to its attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §505.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff requests that judgment be entered in its favor and against each of the aforementioned Defendants granting to plaintiff the following:

- (a) Declare that Defendants' unauthorized exhibition of the March 5, 2016 UFC 196 Broadcast violated the Federal Communications Act and that such violations were committed willfully and for purposes of Defendants' direct or indirect commercial advantage or for private financial gain.
- (b) On the first cause of action, statutory penalties in an amount, in the discretion of this Court, of up to the maximum amount of \$110,000.00 as to each Defendant for their willful violation of 47 U.S.C. §605 (a); or
- (c) On the second cause of action, statutory penalties in an amount, in the discretion of this Court, of up to the maximum amount of \$60,000.00 as to each Defendant for their violation of 47 U.S.C. §553.
- (d) Attorney's fees, interest, costs of suit as to each Defendant pursuant to 47 U. S. C. § 605 (e).

- (e) On the third cause of action, statutory penalties in an amount, in the discretion of this Court, of up to the maximum amount of \$150,000.00 as to each Defendant pursuant to §504(c)(1) and §504(c)(2) for their violation of 17 U.S.C. §501(a).
- (f) Attorney's fees, interest, costs of suit as to each Defendant pursuant to 47 U. S. C. § 605 (e)(3) (B) (iii) and/or §553 (c)(2)(C).
- (g) Attorney's fees, interest, costs of suit as to Defendant pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §505, together with such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: May 3, 2017
Ellenville, NY

ZUFFA, LLC

By: /s/Christopher J. Hufnagel
CHRISTOPHER J. HUFNAGEL (#4983417)
Attorney for Plaintiff
LONSTEIN LAW OFFICE, P.C.
Office and P.O. Address
80 North Main Street : P.O. Box 351
Ellenville, NY 12428
Telephone: (845) 647-8500
Facsimile: (845) 647-6277
Email: Legal@signallaw.com
Our File No. ZU16-03NY-02